

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/756,368	Applicant(s) DOTTORI ET AL.	
	Examiner Sandra Saucier	Art Unit 1651	

All Participants:

(1) Sandra Saucier.

(2) S. Salvadori.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 June 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Obviousness rejection over Sweeney et al. has been overcome by the declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 filed 4/13/10.

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Sweeney et al.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Title of the invention will be changed by the examiner to more closely reflect the claimed method

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Sandra Saucier/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1651

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)